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ABSTRACT

Aim: To estimate the prevalence of murA, uhpT, glpT and fosA resistance genes of fosfomycin in multidrug resistant Escherichia coli isolated from patients suffering from hematological malignancies with on top blood stream infection together with correlating this distribution to the rate of expression of AmpC, ESBLs and MBLs in such isolates.

Methods: 205 blood samples collected from patients with underlying hematological malignancies were cultured to isolate E. coli strains. Multidrug resistance was detected. PCR was done to determine fosfomycin resistance genes; murA, uhpT, glpT and fosA.

Results: A total of 83 (40.5%) E. coli strains were isolated from blood samples. 58 (69.9%) were found to be multidrug resistant. AmpC beta-lactamase production was deduced in 15 (25.8%) isolates. 43 (74.1%) isolates were ESBLs producers whereas 9 (15.5%) were MBL class A carbapene-mases producers. Depending on PCR results, murA gene was detected in one isolate.

*Corresponding author: E-mail: yasminnk@yahoo.com;
**ABBREVIATIONS**

Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (CLSI); Combined disk test (CDT); Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs); Metallo-beta-lactamases (MBLs); Polymerase chain reaction (PCR).

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Blood stream infection is a major life-threatening complication in cancer patients, its mortality rate can reach up to 25-32% [1]. Such patients receiving chemotherapy, suffering from neutropenia or and having inserted medical devices are at higher risk of blood stream infection [2]. Patients with hematologic malignancies have a varying degree of immune and intestinal barrier dysfunction. Accordingly, translocation of bowel *E. coli* to blood occurs with its variant virulence factors [3].

Also multidrug resistant bacteria are an increasing emerging problem in immunosuppressed cancer patients [4]. There is an exponential increase in multidrug resistance, not only to mention AmpC producers but also the emergence and wide spreading of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) and Metallo-beta-lactamases (MBLs) as carbapene-mases producing strains. Difficulty of such problem is greatly growing due to the clustering of many resistance mechanisms and/or the transmission of plasmids and transposons that transport genes with additional resistance [5-6].

Alternative treatment policies are always mandatory to confer the increasing antibiotic resistance to different multidrug resistant bacteria worldwide [7]. One objective of antimicrobial stewardship programs is selection of antibiotics with no emergent multidrug resistant microorganisms trying to find alternatives to antibiotics that recorded a high degree of resistance. Cephalosporins, carbapenems and quinolones have been associated with ESBLs-producing Enterobacteria, *Clostridium difficile* and multidrug resistant *Pseudomonas* [8].

Fosfomycin is an antibiotic derived from phosphonic acid; it is characterized by an exclusive nature with specific mechanisms of action. It yields a wide-range of activity and with nearly no cross-resistance occurring with other types of antibiotics. But some resistance mechanisms are emerging; in *E. coli*, reported fosfomycin resistance included reduced drug uptake which was explained by mutations in the genes that encoded transporters called *GlpT* and *UhpT*. Also may be due to changes in the fosfomycin main target which is the enzyme responsible for catalyzing the initial step in the biosynthesis of peptidoglycan, namely *MurA* [9,10].

The FosA metallo-enzymes resistance is one of transferrable mechanisms in *E. coli* that is responsible for the binding of the glutathione to the molecule of fosfomycin [11]. Four variants FosA (FosA3, FosA4, FosA5, and FosA6) out of seven are acquired determinants of resistance among *E. coli* strains [12,13]. FosA3 is the most common that lies on conjugative plasmids encoding ESBLs of the CTX-M-type [14].

On the other hand, many other Gram-negative bacteria, as *Klebsiella*, either *pneumonia* or oxytoca type, *Enterobacter aerogenes* or *cloacae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Serratia marcescens* and *Morganella morganii*, are inherently non sensitive to fosfomycin [15].

The purpose of this current work up was to estimate the prevalence of *murA*, *uhpT*, *glpT* and *fosA* fosfomycin resistance genes among multidrug resistant *E. coli* retrieved from patients suffering from hematological malignancies with on top blood stream infection, together with correlating this distribution to the rate of expression of AmpC, ESBLs and MBLs in such isolates.

**2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A total of 205 blood samples recruited from patients with underlying hematological malignancies seeking medical care at Mansoura...
University Hospitals, Mansoura, Egypt were enrolled in the current study. The samples were collected over a time span of 15 months from January 2019 to April 2020. Based on previous studies showing the prevalence of fosfomycin resistance genes among multidrug resistant *E. coli* retrieved from patients suffering from hematological malignancies with top blood stream infection, therefore, the sample size was derived with a 95% confidence interval and an estimate error of 8%.

The included patients showed evidence of blood stream infection. The undertaken study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki besides the national and institutional standards. Its protocol was accepted by the faculty review board with a proposal code number of R. 20.04.812. Consent was recruited from each of the study members.

Five ml blood was withdrawn from each included member under complete aseptic precautions on showing fever which was defined by having a single oral temperature recorded as ≥38.3 °C or oral temperature of ≥38.0 °C that continued for over one h and/or neutropenia; defined as an absolute count of neutrophils of less than or equal 500 cells/mm$^3$ [16].

Blood samples were immediately inoculated into blood culture bottles (Egyptian Diagnostic Media, Cairo, Egypt) to be aerobically incubated at 37°C, observed daily up to seven days for signs of bacterial growth as turbidity, gas production, or hemolysis. Suspected bottles were then subcultured on MacConkey agar (Oxoid, England).

2.1 *Escherichia coli* Isolation

*Escherichia coli* strains were recognized by their colonial morphology, Gram’s stain, and biochemical reactions as per standard procedures [17] followed by confirmation by API 20E (bioMérieux, France). Tests were conducted following the manufacturers’ guidelines and then results were explained using the suitable reference indices as hence recommended by the producer.

2.2 Detection of Multidrug Resistant *E. coli* Strains

Disk diffusion method was applied to estimate sensitivity to various antimicrobial agents following the current National Committee for the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (CLSI) [18]. We tested the coming antimicrobials; pencillins: amoxicillin–clavulanic acid (30 μg), ampicillin–sulbactam (20 μg) and piperacillin–tazobactam (100 μg/10 μg), cephalosporins: cefuroxime (30 μg), cefotaxime (30 μg), ceftazidime (30 μg), ceftriaxone (30 μg), and cepepime (30 μg), besides, aztreonam (30 μg), amikacin (30 μg), gentamicin (10 μg), imipenem (10 μg), meropenem (10 μg), sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (1.25/23.75 μg), ciprofloxacin (5 μg) and levofloxacin (5 μg) (Oxoid, England).

Isolates were recognized as multi-drug resistant depending on what declared by the European Centre for Disease Control (if isolate was found to be non-susceptible to more than or equal one agent in more than or equal three different antimicrobial categories) [18].

AmpC beta-lactamase *E. coli* producers were confirmed by modified three dimensional test where the noticed indentation of the inhibition zone of growth around the cefoxitin disk at the junction with the slit, indicated a positive test; the release of AmpC. In short, a Lawn culture standardized to half McFarland was prepared on Muller Hinton agar plate (MHA; Bio-Rad, Marnes-La-Coquette, France) from ATCC *E. coli* 25922 strain which is non-AmpC producer then cefoxitin (30 μg) antibiotic disc was inoculated centrally in the plate [19].

Second step was creating a linear slit of 3cm length, 3 mm distant from the cefoxitin disc by a sterile surgical blade. Then a small well was created at the other end of the slit with a sterile needle. Repeated freezing and thawing of tested *E. coli* strains followed by centrifugation for 15 min at 2000 rpm was required aiming to release the AmpC enzyme if present into the fluid. Finally 20μl of the supernatant was then loaded in the well to be tilted for 5-10 minutes allowing the liquid to diffuse into the slit and plates were then incubated at 37°C for 24h [19].

Extended spectrum beta lactamase *E. coli* producers were known by double disk synergy test where a positive result was considered when growth inhibition zones around the cephalosporin disks were deformed towards the central disk containing clavulanic acid [20].

In brief, a suspension of each *E. coli* isolate adjusted to half McFarland standard was incubated overnight to be then sub-cultured on Muller-Hinton agar plate (MHA; Bio-Rad, Marnes-La-Coquette, France), then antibiotic
2.3 Polymerase Chain Amplification of Fosfomycin Resistance Genes

Deducing of the murA, uhpT, glpT and fosA genes was performed in multidrug resistant E. coli strains. DNA was extracted using QIAGEN Mini Kit (Hilden, Germany) as directed by the manufacturer’s instructions.

The set of primers used to amplify murA gene was MF that had a sequence of: 5’-AACACGAGAGCTCTATGG -3’ and that of MR was: 5’-CCATGAGTTATCGACACAG-3’, for uhpT gene: UF sequence was: 5’-TTTTGAAGCGCCAGACACC -3’ and UR was: 5’-AGTCAGGGGCTATTTGATGG -3’, for glpT gene: UF sequence was: 5’-AGTCAGGGGCTATTTGATGG -3’ and that of MR was: 5’-CCATGAGTTATCGACACAG-3’, for fosA was: FAF: 5’-ATCTGTGGGTCTGCCTGTCGT -3’ as a forward primer and FAR: 5’-AGTCCCGATAGGCTCTTCTC -3’ as a reverse one. They yielded DNA fragments of 1260, 1392, 1359 and 271 bps respectively [22].

PCR amplification for the three genes (murA, uhpT and glpT) was conducted in Norwall, CT (USA) thermal cycler as mentioned: starting by 2 min at a temperature of 94°C, then followed by 30 turns of denaturation for 30 s at 94°C, then another 30 s at 55°C for annealing to be followed by extension for 2 min at 72°C then final elongation for 10 min at 72°C. Similar conditions were used aiming to amplify fosA gene except that the annealing temperature was 60°C [22].

2.4 Statistical Analysis

Obtained data was shown as numbers with percentages. We used SPSS (version 21) for analyzing data by the Pearson’s Chi square. P value less than 0.05 stated significance.

3. RESULTS

A total of 83 (40.5%) E. coli strains were retrieved from blood samples collected from patients with underlying blood malignancies seeking medical care at Mansoura University Hospitals, Mansoura, Egypt and showing evidence of blood stream infection. Disk diffusion technique was carried out to assess susceptibility to various antimicrobial agents. The recorded percentages of resistance to tested antibiotics were as follows: amoxicillin–clavulanic acid (93.9%), ampicillin–sulbactam (80.7%), piperacillin–tazobactam (95.2%), cefuroxime (78.3%), cefotaxime (71.1%), ceftriaxone (71.1%), cefepime (30.1%), aztreonam (57.8%), amikacin (73.5%), gentamicin (63.9%), imipenem (21.7%), meropenem (18.1%), sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (69.9%), ciprofloxacin (59.0%) and, levofloxacin (54.2%) (Table1).

Out of those isolates, 58 (69.9%) were found to be multidrug resistant. On testing the retrieved isolates for AmpC beta-lactamase production, 15 (25.8%) gave positive results, similarly on applying double disk synergy maneuver to estimate ESBLs production; 43 (74.1%) isolates were ESBLs producers. On using combined disk test, 9 (15.5%) E. coli isolates gave positive findings indicating release of class A carbapenemases (Table 2).

In the current study, we determined the distribution of murA, uhpT, glpT and fosA genes among multidrug resistant E. coli strains. Depending on PCR results, murA gene was deduced in 1 isolate (1.7%), uhpT gene in 3 (5.2%), glpT gene in 4 (6.9%) whereas fosA
gene was found in 7 E. coli strains (12.1%) (Table 3).

Two fosA gene positive E. coli strains were found to be AmpC producers (13.3%), whereas 3 isolates were ESBLs producers (7%). Two positive stains were proved to be MBL class A carbapenemase positive (22.2%). Regarding murA gene, it was only detected in a single MBL class A carbapenemase producers E. coli strain (11.1%). Furthermore, uhpT gene was only found in one AmpC E. coli producer (6.7%) and two ESBLs E. coli strains (2.4%). Finally, glpT gene was estimated in 3 (7%) strains phenotypically proved to secrete ESBLs and 1 (11.1%) class A carbapenemases (Table 4).

4. DISCUSSION

Studying of fosfomycin resistance pattern and its genes in relation to blood stream infections in hematological malignancies is an interesting point especially in our locality due to the wide spreading burden of drug resistance. This study was conducted over 205 patients with hematological malignancies and showed the evidences of blood stream infection.

In this study, E. coli was our concern. It represented 40.5% of all isolated organisms from blood samples enrolled in this work up. Mandal et al study clarified that the percentage of E. coli isolated from blood in patients with hematological malignancies was 2.61% which was much less than our study results and the isolated ESBLs producing E. coli was 1.86% [23]. They surveyed the blood samples from all feverish patients with hematological malignancies but our study included only patients with clear evidences of blood stream infections, besides differences in pathogenic bacterial organisms’ prevalence, types of flora & applied antibiotic policies and protocols could be reasons for noticed difference.

The reported percentages for E. coli isolation from cancer patients with blood stream infection were 51.23% and 52.52% as mono-microbial and poly-microbial organisms respectively as declared in Royo-Cebrecos and his colleagues study [24]. A finding that lies in accordance with our study results was the E. coli isolation by 38.6% from malignant hematologic disease patients with blood stream infection after cord blood transplantation [25].

The current study estimated that 69.9% of isolated E. coli were multidrug resistant. The Amp C beta-lactamase producing E. coli was 25.9%.

On applying double disk synergy test, it revealed that 74.1% isolates were ESBLs producers but with combined disk test, 15.5% E. coli isolates showed the release of class A carbapenemases. The ESBLs producing E. coli was 62.5% from blood stream infection in cases of acute leukemia [26].

Nosheen’s study [27] stated that carbapenemases producing E. coli from blood stream infection patients was 16% but they counted all the carbapenemases producing E. coli isolated from multiple infection sites. Out of this percentage, 37.2% was from blood stream infections. Another Indian study reported 22 E. coli isolates but all were carbapenemases producing ones [28]. In a study conducted in Los Angeles, five (45.4%) E. coli isolates out of 11 were carbapenemases producers [29]. The small number of the isolated stains might be the cause of this high percentage. Li and his coworkers showed that fosfomycin-resistant E. coli were significantly more likely to be ESBLs producers [30].

By PCR, murA gene was present in only 1.7% of retrieved multidrug resistant E. coli strains, uhpT gene in 5.2%, glpT gene in 6.9% whereas fosA gene was found in 12.1%. In a study by Li et al, glpT gene was detected in six E. coli strains with a truncated glp T protein resulting from substitutions or deletion of a nucleotide in encoding gene. A similar finding was also reported for murA gene isolates [31].

Other previous studies stated that fosfomycin resistance in E. coli may be attributed to defects in either GlpT or UhpT proteins [22]. FosA3 gene is present on a conjugated plasmid thus raising the mobility of resistance between the strains and disseminating it all over the world [32]. Sometimes fosfomycin resistance may be due to reduction of the bacteria’s fitness or reduction of its virulent nature [33].

Two fosA gene positive E. coli strains were found to be AmpC producers (13.3%), whereas 3 isolates were ESBLs producers (7%). Mueller et al study estimated that 29% of the ESBLs producing E. coli were having a fosA gene [34]. Two positive stains were proved to be MBL class A carbapenemases positive (22.2%). Regarding murA gene, it was only detected in a single MBL class A carbapenemases producing E. coli strain (11.1%). Furthermore uhpT gene was only found in one AmpC E. coli producer (6.7%) and two ESBLs E. coli strains (2.4%).
Table 1. Antibiotic sensitivity pattern of *E. coli* isolates by disk diffusion method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Sensitive</th>
<th></th>
<th>Resistant</th>
<th></th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>№ (total=83)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>№ (total=83)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pencillins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amoxacillin clavulnic acid</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin–sulbactam</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piperacillin–tazobactam</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalosporins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefuroxime</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ceftriaxone</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefazidime</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>68.7</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefepime</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monobactams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aztreonam</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbapenemes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imipenem</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meropenem</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoglycoside</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentamicin</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36.1</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>63.9</td>
<td>0.005*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amikacin</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trimethoprim sulphamethoxide</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinolones</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>levofoxacin</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Pearson’s Chi square

Table 2. Prevalence of Amp C, ESBLs and MBLs production among studied multi-drug resistant *E. coli* isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of beta lactamase enzyme</th>
<th>AmpC <em>E. coli</em> producers</th>
<th>ESBL <em>E coli</em> producers</th>
<th>MBL class A carbapenemase <em>E coli</em> producers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>58 (100%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Prevalence of fosfomycin resistance genes among multidrug resistant *E. coli* isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studied gene</th>
<th>murA gene</th>
<th>uhpT gene</th>
<th>gipT gene</th>
<th>fosA gene</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>№</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>№</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>№</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>94.8</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Correlating distribution of fosfomycin resistance genes to the category of the beta lactamase enzyme produced by multidrug resistant *E. coli* isolates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>murA gene</th>
<th>uhpT gene</th>
<th>glpT gene</th>
<th>fosA gene</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>-ve</td>
<td>+ve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AmpC</td>
<td>0(0.00%)</td>
<td>15(100%)</td>
<td>1(6.7%)</td>
<td>14(93.3%)</td>
<td>0(0.00%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESBLs</td>
<td>0(0.00%)</td>
<td>43(100%)</td>
<td>2(4.7%)</td>
<td>41(95.3%)</td>
<td>3(7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MBL class A carbapenemase</td>
<td>1(11.1%)</td>
<td>9(100%)</td>
<td>0(0.00%)</td>
<td>9(100%)</td>
<td>1(11.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Finally, \textit{glpT} gene was estimated in 3 (7\%) strains phenotypically proved to secrete ESBLs and 1 (11.1\%) class A carbapene-mases. One limiting field in the fosfomycin resistance is direct inactivation of the antibiotic by metallo-enzymes (FosA, FosB and FosX), which are transmissible. They are frequently found in ESBLs enterobacteria and carriers of carbapene-mases with specific concern to \textit{E. coli} [14].

One Swiss study estimated the resistance in between \textit{E. coli} strains should be conducted beside this current study to provide a wider knowledge about the fosfomycin resistance among the multi-drug resistant \textit{E. coli} from blood stream infections and other types of infections in hematological malignancies patients.

5. CONCLUSION

The research concluded that although fosfomycin is a promising antibiotic with limited degree of prevalence of its resistant genes, there is a fear of disseminating resistance that might increase especially with presence of ESBLs, Amp C and MBL class A carbapene-mases. However, we hope a low dissemination rate of this resistance.

5.1 Significance and Impact of Study

Testing liability to resistance of new antimicrobial groups like fosfomycin is a must especially in communities, like our own, suffering from wide spreading of multi-drug resistant bacterial strains; so providing alternatives for treating such strains.
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